P

App F IX 4

192 8
(Mf)

File No 76-28

Serial Nos. 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11

Previous References
328-27-(1+2)
74-28 (116

Later References
Mf 76 28 sl 13

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos ~~Hour~~ Trevr

Part B for March 1930

SUBJECT

Note of Inspection of the 3rd member of Council, of the Town of Kunegal

Records with no orders

...ete ... the 3rd Meeting of Kunigal town

...Mel 76-28-7

TOWN Inspected the town with the Deputy Commissioner, the Municipal President and the Vice-President, and some of the Municipal Councillors The town was generally dirty, the chief defect being inadequate drainage arrangements A complaint was made that the main drain for the ~~xx~~ storm water of the town used to pass through a part of the Kunigal Stud Farm and that this has been closed The complaint was found to be in the main justified At the same time, it is desirable to divert the drain so as not to pass through the Stud Farm The Deputy Commissioner seems to have made a proposal to Government that two-thirds of the estimated cost of about Rs 9,000 may be met by Government This is reasonable and may be sanctioned

GEN & REV SEC 755
14 AUG 1929
GH

The Dy Comr submitted a proposal for the Construction of a Storm water drain through the Kunigal Stud Farm at a cost of Rs 29,642/- and requested Govt to bear 2/3rd Cost, the remaining balance being borne by the Kunigal Taluk Council. The Dy Comr was informed that the Cost on the account was and above the Govt-grant that may be allotted by him to the Taluk Council for this purpose out of the amount that is placed at his disposal for town improvements has to be borne by the Taluk Council (vide this Office letter No L 1489/Rl 74-28-6 & 27-8 28). No further action is therefore necessary

2 Send for order

14

DS
29/8/29

General and [illegible] Secretariat | File No 76 | of 1928

Serial No

3 Mr Hankey's note which explains Mr Prothin may perhaps be submitted to Mr Gladstone for information about further orders if any

[illegible]

29/8/29

Secretary

2328 31/8

Secretary

4 Please warn details of the scheme - I understood that it was in two parts one costing over £6000 the other about £4000 - It appeared to me that there was just a chance for meeting the peaceful part of the matter scheme

[illegible]

4/9/29

5 For perusal

[illegible]

2/9

Secretary.

6 Seen

[illegible]

9/9/29

7 draft [illegible] 9/9

8 Submitted with a draft for approval

[illegible]

[illegible] 9 Issued [illegible] 14/9

OFFICE OF THE S ME O COU IL
3 SEP 1929
No 2603.

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01694—G.P.B.—30 000—20 4 29

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 76 of 1929

Serial No	
8	To The DC Tanjore C 2288 dt 24.9.29 Directs him to obtain details of the scheme raised for Kalimedu at for area of Cost
9	Letter no A3-1578-28 dt 21-12-29 from the D C, Tanjore submitting a detailed scheme for the drainage works to be carried out in the Municipal Town costing about Rs 29642 and recommending that two-thirds of the cost of the scheme may be debited to the Civil Farm, the balance being met by the mal cl (a) The Dep com points out the necessity to drain off the sewage from the portion occupied by the Civil Farm in the best interests of the sanitation of the town and states that the natural outlet for the escape of the drainage and storm water has been blocked up by the Civil Farm authorities (b) In this office letter no C 1681/mal 74-26 the D C was informed that the cost on this account over and above the fixed grant that may be allotted by him to the mal cl for this purpose and the amount that is placed at his disposal for town improvements has to be borne by the mal cl (c) Called for orders with reference to Dep com's remarks in para 4 supra
2	

NEPAL SECRETARY
11 JAN 1930
No 1513

REV SECT
30 SEPT 1929
L S G BRANCH

...ote—Contn. Sheet

WD 01681—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 81/38 / 7C/95 of 1928

App F III—2]

Serial No

13) I am afraid the D.C has not much follow the matter. Please ask him if the scheme put by him in the PWD is the only scheme & whether there is not another which is much cheaper. We will then consider further.

RJ 11/1/30

14) Submitted for approval

13/1/30

15/1/30

15/1

10 To the D.C Tanjore. L 549 & Rs 22 L Engineer of the scheme referred to by him for drainage to Kumgal town is the only scheme in the matter & if there is no other cheaper one to

A CTT

L S G Branch

25/1

11 Letter no A 3 -1578/28-29 dy 15-2-30 from the Secy Govt, Tanjore stating that there is no scheme feasible besides the one now proposed in consultation with the Sanitary, Town planning Wing and that this is the same scheme with certain modifications which was sent to Govt for sanction with his

P Office letter no A 7 434/27-28 dy 7-5-28

15) Submitted for orders with reference to para 13 of the notes supra

Note-Contn Sheet

15/1

4161
3435

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 192

Serial No.

(6) From the Deputy Commissioner's letter it is seen that for the drainage scheme of the Town two estimates have been prepared, namely one for Rs 9 002/- for draining the western portion of the Kunigal Town and the other for Rs 29,642/- for draining the Kunigal Stud Farm

(7) The first estimate was sanctioned by Government in August 1928 and the Municipal Council have agreed to carry out the work with the help of a grant-in-aid from Government This has nothing to do with the draining of the Stud Farm

(8) The second estimate is for the ~~draining~~ draining of the Stud Farm The ~~cost of the~~ work is estimated to cost Rs 29 042/- and the estimate is not prepared in two parts The drains have to be taken through the Stud Farm and the Municipal Council asked for a grant of 2/3rds of the cost The Municipal Council have been informed that the drains cannot be taken through the Farm without the consent of the Farm Authorities and that the balance of the cost in excess of what may be allotted by the Deputy Commissioner out of the annual provision for Town Improvements will have to be met from the Municipal Funds

2

(9) Submitted with reference to the Third Member's minute in para 4 above

D 24/2/30

2) T D

RG 27/2/30

RG 25/2

2) seen

1/3/30

RG 1/3/30

North-South sheet

WD 066—GPR—60,000—25 7 29

K M R 1 24/

GEN & R I

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 2288 / Rev 76 28-8

Dated Bangalore 24/9/29

Extra 6

From

B Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq , B A , B L ,
~~N MADHAVA RAO, Esq, B A, B L~~
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General ~~xxx~~

To

G B Heblikar, Esq , B A , LL B ,
Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

Sir

In inviting your attention to the notes of the visit of the Third Member of Council to Kunigal on 22nd July 1929, I am directed to state that it was understood that the scheme for diverting the drainage of the Town was in two parts one costing over Rs 9,000/- and the other about Rs 19,000/- The scheme submitted to Government in your letter No A 3-1570/ 28-29 dated the 2nd January 1929 and on which orders were passed in this Office letter No L 1481/Ml 74-28-6 dated the 27th August 1929 does not show the details thereof and I am directed to request you to obtain the details of the scheme and submit the same for the orders of Government

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government

I M R 2
14/9

L 2285 Mil 76-28-8

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

Sir

I am directed to invite your attention to the notes of visit of the Third Member of Council to the Hunigal on 22nd July 1929 and to state that the Member understood that the scheme for diverting the drainage of the Town was in two parts, one costing over Rs 9 000/- and the other about Rs 19 000/- and that he considered that there was justification for meeting the greater part of the smaller scheme The scheme submitted to Government in your letter No A 3-1570/28-29 dated 2nd January 1929 and on which orders were passed in this Office letter No I 1481/M1 74-28-6 dated 27th August 1929 does not show the details and I am directed to request you to obtain the details of the scheme and submit the same for the orders of Government

I have etc

General Secretary to Govt

MK

No Please see the reverse
Enclosures

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 21st December 192 8

FROM

G B HEBLIKAR ESQ BA LLB
Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

GEN 13438
17 DEC 1929
Tumkur

To

R Ranga Rao Esq I A S,
General Secretary to the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to your letter No L-208 /116-28-8 of the 24th September 1929 calling for the details of the drainage -- orks proposed to be done in the Municipal Town at a cost of Rs - 29 000/- I have the honor to note below the items of works proposed by Mr Barker Town Planning and Sanitary Engineer

1, Laying 2½' dia Armoured concrete pipe @ Rs		1628/-
2 " 3½' " " " " " "		3646/-
3 " 3¾' " " " " " "		4530/-
4 " 4¾' " " " " "		8779/-
5 " 5' " " "		2317/-
6 " 5½' " " " " "		2424/-
7 Constructing manholes every 300 feet apart		500/-
8 Providing Storm Water Gratings		100/-
	Total	23 724/-
Contingencies at 5%		1,196/-
	Total	25 120/-
Establishment, Tool and Plant Charges at 18%		4 522/-
	Grand Total	29,642/-

As regards the source from which the cost of the scheme is to be met I beg to submit that it is imperatively necessary to drain off the sewage from the portion occupied by the Stud Farm in the interests of the sanitation and well-being of the Municipal Town The natural outlet for the escape of the drainage and storm water has been blocked up by the Stud Farm Authorities and this was brought to

W D 946—(1)PB—50 000—4 9-29

192

From

To

Dated 21 12 29

Despatched 21-12-29

Received

General No A3-1576-28

No

Enclosure No —

9

to the notice of the Third ember during his visit to Municipal Town on 22nd July 1929 I therefore recommend that two-thirds of the cost of the schere may be debited to the Stud Farm the - balance being met by the unicipal Council

I request that the proposal may be approved so that I may obtain the detailed estimates and plans fro the Executive Engineer Town Planning Division and submit the same to Government for -- sanction

Ih ve the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Appendix C

SUBJECT

Section "C"
File No 76 – 28
Serial No 10
No L 5498

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To
The Asst Commr
Tuticorin

Date of issue 22/1/30

Sir,

Signed by Mr M Somasundaram

With reference to ~~your letter~~ correspondence ending with your letter No T3 – 15/28-28 dy 21-12-29 forwarding the details of the scheme for the drainage of the Kilkarai Town at a cost of Rs 29,663 I am directed to enquire whether the revenue ~~expenditure~~ by you is the only one and whether there is no other work which is much cheaper

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

ml 76-28 11

No A. - 1578 - 29

Enc one Copy

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 15th February 1930

FROM

G B HEBLIKAR, Esq BA LL B
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District Tumkur

4161

To

R Ranga Rao, Esqr , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of H H the
Maharaja of Mysore
General *Department*
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 5498/L1 76-28-10 dated 22-1-1930 enquiring whether the Kunigal drainage scheme referred to by me is the only scheme in the matter I have the honor to state that there is no scheme feasible besides the one submitted by me in consultation with the Executive Engineer, Town Planning and Sanitary Division This is the same scheme with certain modifications submitted to you for sanction with this office No A 7 434/27-28 dated 7-5-1928 In this connection kind reference is invited to Lr Larker's letter No 222 dated 17/19th August 1927 a copy of which is herein enclosed for kind perusal

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 15/2

Copy of letter No 222 dated 17/19th Aug 1927, from the Executive Engineer Sanitary and Town Planning Division Bangalore 13

--oo--

"

With reference to our joint inspection some time ago of Kunigal Stud Farm in connection with the storm water drainage of the town which was blocked up by Stud Farm I have the honor to forward following note of mine with proposals to meet the situation

The towns people in this matter has a real grievance as the only natural way for the escape of drainage and storm water is blocked up by the Stud Farm years ago On the other side the Stud Farm authorities also have some reasonable grounds in not allowing the storm water floww through their Farm on the open surface of the paddocks These grounds are first infection carried through germs brought in by the washings of the town through storm water and - lodged on the grounds of the farm and secondly inconvenience through an open earthern drain passing through various paddocks

Unfortunately the Stud farm is situated in the lowest basin of the town and is the natural valley for the flow of the storm water, as is determined by taking levels The storm water vents on the north side i e on Bangalore - Kunigal road were blocked up I was told some 15 years ago and those on the west side in 1921 by the Stud Farm authorities Since then there is always stagnation of - - sewage and storm water at these ends causing a great nuisance throug decomposing sewage and consequent obnoxious smells through it Some 2 years ago during heavy rains houses on the north of the Stud Farm were flooded and great damage was caused to them The lunicipality seems to be constantly urging the authorities to redress their - grievances in this matter

As a relief measure it was suggested to divert the drainage into Doddakere But this is not feasible as levels do no permit The level of the town being 173-22 and that of waste weir of - Doddakere 174 03 Hence if the storm water drain is linked on to Doddakere water will back up and flood the town during heavy rains

rains when the tank is full The Municipality authorities already tried to divert the drainage to the moat behind the tank bund but were not successful as the levels did not permit

It will be thus seen that it is not possible to divert the drainage in any direction but the natural valley which is through the Stud Farm As it is objectionable to take the drainage through open drain in the Stud Farm area it proposed to take it by means of an underground covered drain- the cheapest of which is a reinforced concrete circular pipe This pipe will drain all the storm water from the north and a small portion from the west of the town

As for draining the western portion of the town instead of taking it through the Stud Farm it is proposed to open a boundary road to the west and intercept the drainage water by constructing - silk pattern drains on the up stream side of the proposed road (vide plan and details) For opening out this road the existing houses along the same and houses on the lower side also are to be acquired as this area of houses cannot command flow into the proposed drains and also are very low and insanitary The collecting drain is led into the natural valley further down into the Chikkere tank

The details of the scheme are given in the discharge statement and in the plans accompanying this letter The cost of the scheme is as follows -

1 Draining the western portion of Kunigal Town

1	Construction silk pattern drains.	Rs 5	569/-
2	-do- -do- with road crossings	1	113/-
3	Providing and fixing 6" thick burnt stone parapets		240/-
4	Providing 6" surface flush tanks		144/-
5	Providing Dust Bins		200/-
	Total Rs	7	629/-
	Contingencies @ 5 per cent		363/-
	Total Rs	7	629/-
	Establishment Tools & plant charges @ 18%	1	373/-
	Total Rs	9,002/-	

II Draining Kunigal Stud Farm

1)	Laying 2½' dia Armoured concrete pipe	Rs	1,628/-
2)	-do- 3¼' " -do-		3,646/-
3)	-do- 3¾' " -do-		4 530/-
4)	-do- 4¾' " -do-		8,779/-
5)	-do- 5' " -do-		2 317/-
6)	-do- 5¼' " -do-		2,424/-
7)	Constructing manholes at every 300' apart		500/-
8	Providing storm water gratings		100/-
	Total Rs		23,924/-
	Contingencies @ 5%		1,196/-
	Total Rs		25,120/-
	Establishment tools & plant charges @ 18%		4,522/-
	Total Rs		29,642/-

If these proposals are approved detail estimates will be prepared for further action "

--00--

COPY

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 15/2